

## VU Research Portal

### No Sustainable Effects of an Internet-Based Relapse Prevention Program over 24 Months in Recurrent Depression

Klein, Nicola S.; Kok, Gemma D.; Burger, Huibert; Van Valen, Evelien; Riper, Heleen; Cuijpers, Pim; Dekker, Jack; Smit, Filip; Van Der Heiden, Colin; Bockting, Claudi L.H.

***published in***

Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics  
2018

***DOI (link to publisher)***

[10.1159/000485039](https://doi.org/10.1159/000485039)

***document version***

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

***document license***

Article 25fa Dutch Copyright Act

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

***citation for published version (APA)***

Klein, N. S., Kok, G. D., Burger, H., Van Valen, E., Riper, H., Cuijpers, P., Dekker, J., Smit, F., Van Der Heiden, C., & Bockting, C. L. H. (2018). No Sustainable Effects of an Internet-Based Relapse Prevention Program over 24 Months in Recurrent Depression: Primary Outcomes of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 87(1), 55-57. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000485039>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**E-mail address:**

[vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl](mailto:vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl)

Psychother Psychosom 2018;87:55–57  
DOI: 10.1159/000485039

## No Sustainable Effects of an Internet-Based Relapse Prevention Program over 24 Months in Recurrent Depression: Primary Outcomes of a Randomized Controlled Trial

Nicola S. Klein<sup>a</sup>, Gemma D. Kok<sup>b</sup>, Huibert Burger<sup>c,d</sup>,  
Evelien van Valen<sup>e</sup>, Heleen Riper<sup>f,h</sup>, Pim Cuijpers<sup>f,g</sup>, Jack Dekker<sup>f,i</sup>,  
Filip Smit<sup>f,g,j</sup>, Colin van der Heiden<sup>k,l</sup>, Claudine L.H. Bockting<sup>a,m</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Clinical Psychology, University of Groningen, Groningen, <sup>b</sup>Department Tripolis, GGZ Drenthe, Assen, <sup>c</sup>Department of General Practice, University of Groningen, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, <sup>d</sup>Radboud University Medical Center, Radboud Institute for Health Sciences, Nijmegen, <sup>e</sup>Department of Geriatrics, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, <sup>f</sup>Department of Clinical, Neuro and Developmental Psychology, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, <sup>g</sup>Amsterdam Public Health, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, <sup>h</sup>GGZ inGeest, Amsterdam, <sup>i</sup>Research Department Arkin Mental Health Institute, Amsterdam, <sup>j</sup>Department of Public Mental Health, Trimbos Institute (Netherlands Institute of Mental Health and Addiction), Utrecht, <sup>k</sup>Department of Psychology, Education and Child Studies, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, <sup>l</sup>PsyQ Mental Health Care Center, Rotterdam, and <sup>m</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Academic Medical Center, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands


As major depressive disorder (MDD) is highly recurrent, international practice guidelines recommend maintenance antidepressant medication and/or psychological treatment after acute MDD to prevent relapse/recurrence [1]. Preventive cognitive therapy (PCT) is specifically developed for relapse prevention in depression and is effective in reducing relapse/recurrence over 2–10 years [2, 3]. Since resources in clinical practice are scarce, internet-based relapse prevention programs might be a promising alternative. Small to moderate effects of internet-based interventions were found without therapist support and higher effects with therapist support [4]. The short-term (secondary) results of the current randomized controlled trial showed a more favorable course of depressive symptoms over 3 months in participants receiving an internet-based version of PCT (mobile cognitive therapy, M-CT) added to treatment as usual (TAU) compared to TAU alone [5]. Thus far, little is known about the long-term effectiveness of internet-based relapse prevention programs. Only one study in partially remitted participants has examined the effect of a 10-week guided internet-based relapse prevention program for recurrent depression over 24 months, showing promising results [6]. The

aim of this single-blind 2-arm parallel randomized controlled trial (trial registration NTR2503; approved by METIGG, an independent medical ethics committee) was to examine whether adding M-CT to TAU is clinically superior to TAU alone over 24 months (primary outcome) in remitted recurrently depressed individuals. Details about the study design can be found elsewhere [7].

Individuals were recruited via media, general practitioners, and mental health care institutions, and screened for eligibility with a telephone version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders (SCID-I) and the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD). Individuals aged between 18 and 65 years were included if they had experienced at least 2 (unipolar) depressive episodes, had been in remission for at least 8 weeks but no longer than 24 months according to the DSM-IV assessed with the SCID-I, and had a current score of  $\leq 10$  on the HRSD. Participants provided informed consent prior to randomization and were included between mid-September 2010 and August 2013. Simple randomization was undertaken (1:1 ratio) by an independent researcher using computer-generated random numbers with STATA. M-CT consisted of 8 online modules based on PCT, a face-to-face treatment targeting cognitive vulnerability factors. In M-CT, participants were recommended to work on 1 module per week, taking approximately 20 min plus 10-min homework assignments. Minimal therapist support was administered, yielding a minimum of 2 telephone sessions with a maximum duration of 30 min with a licensed clinical psychologist. TAU consisted of no treatment, (after) care by a general practitioner, or (after) care in a specialized mental health care center.

To detect an absolute difference of 20% in the cumulative incidence of depressive relapse/recurrence over 24 months, with 80% power, a 2-sided 5% alpha level, and assuming relapses/recurrences in 50% of the participants and a 20% attrition rate, we aimed to include 268 participants. The intention-to-treat (ITT) principle was used, analyzing all participants regardless of adherence to the randomized condition. The primary outcome was time-related proportion of relapse/recurrence according to the DSM-IV assessed with the SCID-I by blinded interviewers after 3, 12, and 24 months. Treatment response conditional on number of previous depressive episodes assessed with the SCID-I, chronic somatic illness assessed with the Nemesis Somatic illnesses list, and type of TAU were examined explicitly. Secondary outcomes were number of relapses/recurrences based on DSM-IV criteria assessed with the SCID-I and the level of depressive symptoms assessed with the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self-Report (IDS-SR) at 10 intervals.

In total, 288 individuals were eligible, of whom 264 were randomized to M-CT added to TAU ( $n = 132$ ) or TAU alone ( $n = 132$ ). Most participants were highly educated (61%) and female (75%), with a mean age of 46 years ( $\pm 10.8$ ) and median number of previous depressive episodes of 4. Overall, 29 participants dropped out immediately after randomization and 24 were lost to follow-up.



**Fig. 1.** Survival curves over 24 months in mobile cognitive therapy (M-CT) added to treatment as usual (TAU) ( $n = 132$ ) and TAU alone ( $n = 132$ ).

Baseline characteristics in the ITT sample and of participants with follow-up data were comparable and balanced over treatment conditions, except for a slight imbalance in gender and severity of the last depressive episode. Mean total therapist support in M-CT was 17.3 min per participant (range: 0–70), and 68% ( $n = 90$ ) finished at least 5 modules.

After 24 months, the cumulative relapse/recurrence rate according to Kaplan-Meier estimates was 0.44 in M-CT and 0.49 in TAU. Figure 1 shows that over time, the proportion free of relapse/recurrence was higher in M-CT compared to TAU, but this effect was not statistically significant (log-rank  $X^2[1] = 1.73$ ,  $p = 0.189$ ). The Cox regression showed no statistically significant difference between conditions (hazard ratio,  $HR = 0.77$ , 95%  $CI = 0.53$ – $1.14$ ,  $p = 0.190$ ). Treatment condition did not interact with number of previous depressive episodes ( $HR = 0.74$ , 95%  $CI = 0.34$ – $1.61$ ,  $p = 0.447$ ), chronic somatic illness ( $HR = 0.60$ , 95%  $CI = 0.26$ – $1.35$ ,  $p = 0.216$ ), and type of TAU ( $HR = 0.87$ , 95%  $CI = 0.57$ – $1.35$ ,  $p = 0.543$ ). Sensitivity analyses controlling for imbalanced baseline variables, including only participants that completed at least 5 modules, and using multiple imputation to address missing data, yielded comparable results. No statistically significant results were found on secondary outcomes (Poisson regression on number of relapses: incidence rate ratio = 0.87, 95%  $CI = 0.64$ – $1.19$ ,  $p = 0.393$ ; linear mixed models on depressive symptoms:  $B = 0.31$ , 95%  $CI = -0.09$ – $0.70$ ,  $p = 0.131$ ). Additional information about the methods and results can be found at <https://www.claudibocking.com/research/supplementary-material>.

We did not find statistically significant effects on our primary and secondary outcomes over 24 months, which indicates that M-CT has no long-term protective effect. This finding is in line with a recent meta-analysis demonstrating that guided and unguided internet-based treatments were effective in the short term

but not beyond 6 months posttreatment [8]. However, our sobering long-term findings contrast with our initial finding where M-CT resulted in a favorable course of depressive symptoms over 3 months [5] and with the promising findings of Holländare et al. [6] in partially remitted individuals using an internet-based relapse prevention program. Long-term effects of face-to-face PCT and other face-to-face relapse prevention strategies such as mindfulness-based cognitive therapy and well-being therapy have been demonstrated [9]. As internet-based interventions have better effects with therapy support [4], we hypothesize that actively prescribing more support might have maintained our short-term results. In the guided internet-based relapse prevention program of Holländare et al. [6], the mean therapist time was 150 min whereas in our study this was 17.3 min. Moreover, in a randomized controlled trial examining a similar population, PCT administered as bibliotherapy with 110.2 min of therapy support did significantly reduce the risk of relapse/recurrence after 12 months [10]. Future studies should examine whether increased therapist support is associated with better effects in relapse prevention studies.

Since most participants in M-CT used minimal therapist support, we were not able to examine whether high therapist support is associated with better outcomes. Several other limitations need to be acknowledged, including the generalizability (relatively high number of highly educated females) and the fact that we could not examine the effects of mood monitoring in M-CT on depressive relapse/recurrence.

In contrast to our expectations, a psychological internet-based relapse prevention program added to TAU did not result in a substantially better protection against relapse/recurrence compared to TAU alone. Future studies should examine the long-term effectiveness of internet-based interventions and the optimal dosage of therapist support.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all participants in this study. Without them, this study would not have been possible. Moreover, we thank all recruitment sites for their efforts: Arkin, PsyQ (Amsterdam, Groningen, and Rotterdam), PuntP, Lentis, Indigo, HSK, Depressie vereniging, GGZ Centraal, and participating general practitioners associated with the General Practitioners VUmc network. We also thank the Trimbos Institute for their collaboration (Katherina Martin Abello and Iris Rosier). We thank the therapists for supporting M-CT (Eelco Olde, Evelien van Valen, Gerdie A.M. Langenhuizen and Laura Reisma). Finally, we are grateful to all master students, honours students, volunteers, research assistants, and PhD students (especially Gerard D. van Rijsbergen and Hermien J. Elgersma) for their help in the data collection and coordination process.

#### Disclosure Statement

Claudi L.H. Bocking and Evelien van Valen developed M-CT, which was integrated in the platform of the Trimbos Institute in collaboration with Filip Smit. No other disclosures are reported.

#### Funding Sources

This research was funded by ZonMw: The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (Department of Disease Management and Chronic Illnesses, grant No. 300020014). The funder had no role in the study design, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data, the writing of the manuscript, or the

decision to submit the article for publication. This paper has partly been written by Claudi L.H. Bockting as part of a fellowship at the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities and Social Sciences (NIAS).

### References

- 1 American Psychiatric Association: Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder, ed 3. 2010. <http://psychiatryonline.org/guidelines>.
- 2 Bockting CLH, Schene AH, Spinhoven P, Koeter MW, Wouters LF, Huyser J, Kamphuis JH: Preventing relapse/recurrence in recurrent depression with cognitive therapy: a randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 2005;73:647–657.
- 3 Bockting CLH, Smid NH, Koeter MW, Spinhoven P, Beck AT, Schene AH: Enduring effects of preventive cognitive therapy in adults remitted from recurrent depression: a 10 year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *J Affect Disord* 2015;185:188–194.
- 4 Richards D, Richardson T: Computer-based psychological treatments for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2012;32:329–342.
- 5 Kok GD, Burger H, Riper H, Cuijpers P, Dekker J, Van Marwijk H, Smit F, Beck AT, Bockting CLH: The three-month effect of mobile Internet-based cognitive therapy on the course of depressive symptoms in remitted recurrently depressed patients: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Psychother Psychosom* 2015;84:90–99.
- 6 Holländare F, Anthony SA, Randestad M, Tillfors M, Carlbring P, Andersson G, Engström I: Two-year outcome of internet-based relapse prevention for partially remitted depression. *Behav Res Ther* 2013;51:719–722.
- 7 Bockting CLH, Kok GD, Van der Kamp L, Smit F, Van Valen E, Schoevers R, Van Marwijk H, Cuijpers P, Riper H, Dekker J, Beck AT: Disrupting the rhythm of depression using mobile cognitive therapy for recurrent depression: randomized controlled trial design and protocol. *BMC Psychiatry* 2011;11:1–9.
- 8 So M, Yamaguchi S, Hashimoto S, Sado M, Furukawa TA, McCrone P: Is computerised CBT really helpful for adult depression? A meta-analytic re-evaluation of CCBT for adult depression in terms of clinical implementation and methodological validity. *BMC Psychiatry* 2013;13:1–14.
- 9 Bockting CLH, Hollon SD, Jarrett RB, Kuyken W, Dobson K: A lifetime approach to major depressive disorder: the contributions of psychological interventions in preventing relapse and recurrence. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2015;41:16–26.
- 10 Biesheuvel-Leliefeld KEM, Dijkstra-Kersten SMA, Van Schaik DJF, Van Marwijk HWJ, Smit F, Van der Horst HE, Bockting CLH: Effectiveness of supported self-help in recurrent depression: a randomized controlled trial in primary care. *Psychother Psychosom* 2017;86:220–230.